

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/764,602	Applicant(s) NOLAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz

(2) Bernard Codd

Date of Interview: 3 August 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
none
 Claims discussed:
withdrawn claims
 Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested amending claim 19 to either recite the melting point limitation added to claim 1, or to incorporate lines 14 - 17 of claim 26 in order to bring the claim into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to incorporate the melting point limitation.


Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: AM



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)